

Summary:

Columbus Regional Airport Authority, Ohio; Airport

Primary Credit Analyst:

Adam Torres, New York (1) 212-438-2481; adam_torres@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Credit Analyst:

Joseph J Pezzimenti, New York (1) 212-438-2038; joseph_pezzimenti@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Columbus Regional Airport Authority, Ohio; Airport

Credit Profile		
Columbus Regional Airport Authority (AGM) (MBIA)		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Columbus Regl Arpt Auth arpt		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Columbus Regl Arpt Auth arpt imp (Port Columbus Intl Arpt Proj) ser 1998 dtd 02/01/1998 ser A due 1/1/2001-2005 ser B due 1/1/2005-2016 2018 2028		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Columbus Regl Arpt Auth arpt rfdg rev bnds (Port Columbus Intl Arpt Proj) ser 2003A&B dtd 10/28/2003 due 01/01/2005-2024		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services has raised its rating on Columbus Regional Airport Authority (CRAA), Ohio's senior airport revenue bonds outstanding to 'A+' from 'A'. The outlook is stable.

We base the upgrade on continued strong debt service coverage (DSC) and other financial metrics, with additional debt only in the form of an existing subordinate commercial paper (CP) program.

In our opinion, credit strengths include the following:

- Strong DSC in the past three years, ranging from 2.78x to 3.37x, with the low occurring in fiscal 2010 (year ended Dec. 31), and level debt service;
- An origin-and-destination airport with good carrier diversity, located within a stable regional economy; and
- Low debt per enplanement of about \$31 with no additional parity debt plans.

We believe that declining enplanement trends in 2008 and 2009 (10.5% and 9.7%, respectively) is a mitigating credit weakness, although a 2.0% rebound to 3.18 million in 2010 tempers this.

Net revenues of Port Columbus International Airport and the small Bolton Field Airport secure the bonds. The authority also owns Rickenbacker Airport, which is primarily for cargo. Rickenbacker's revenues are not pledged to the bonds, and its expenses are subordinate to bond debt service payments.

CRAA's airport revenue bond debt outstanding totals about \$89.7 million. All debt is fixed-rate, and the authority has entered into no interest rate swap agreements. Maturing in 2027, debt service is level at approximately \$8.4 million through 2023, then falls for the remaining years. In addition, CRAA has approximately \$5 million in subordinate commercial paper outstanding, against a total line of \$75 million.

DSC has remained strong and above 2x since 2007. At fiscal year-end 2010, CRAA had \$69.8 million in revenue, including \$2.8 million passenger facility charge (PFC) revenue eligible for debt service, \$47.5 million in expenses, and \$8.0 million in debt service. During this period, airline revenue as a share of total operating revenue has increased slightly, to 33% in 2010 from 28% in 2007, although we still consider revenue diversity overall to be good.

The airport benefits from its origin-and-destination nature. It has what we consider to be good carrier diversity. The largest, Southwest Airlines Co., accounts for 27% of 2010 enplanements, the same as in 2009, and up from 26% in 2008. The top three carriers (including Delta Air Lines Inc. and US Airways Inc.) accounted for 64% of 2010 enplanements. As well, the airport benefits from the diverse Columbus economy, which is anchored by the government and services sectors, providing stability and helping to protect against economic cycles. We rate the city of Columbus's general obligation bonds 'AAA', with a stable outlook.

CRAA's three-year capital improvement program (CIP) through 2013 is an estimated \$228.7 million, and assumes no additional parity debt, although management plans to continue accessing the CP line. It is to repay about \$26 million of this during the next three years with PFCs, although letter-of-intent money and capital reserves will also repay CP outstanding. We also expect pay-as-you-go PFC funding to contribute about \$41.4 million. Other funding sources include about \$86.7 million in FAA grants, \$24.8 million in TSA grants, and \$50 million from the authority's capital reserves. The CIP focuses on a replacement runway (about \$204.4 million), and an in-line baggage screening system (\$34.4 million). Given the airport's debt outstanding, including about \$31 of revenue bond debt per enplanement based on 2010 results, which we consider low, we believe the CIP's lack of additional parity debt to be a particular strength.

Enplanement trends have risen following two years of declines. In addition to the 3.18 million in 2010 enplanements, a feasibility study provided by management in conjunction with the airport's ability to finance the CIP forecasts a 3% increase in 2011 enplanements to 3.28 million, and 3% each for the following two years. While we believe that the forecast is somewhat aggressive and note that the first three months only demonstrated a 1.6% increase, we believe that given CRAA's strong and consistent financial history, the positive enplanement trend overall is consistent with an upgrade.

Based on audited financial statements, the authority's liquidity position was 192 days' unrestricted cash in 2010 (\$28.3 million on Dec. 31), and 28% of revenue bond debt. In terms of ratios and the nominal figure, 2010 results represent the low since before 2007, except for 25% cash to debt in 2008. However, CRAA holds significant unrestricted, noncurrent assets, which management has historically included in its calculation; based on \$95.1 million as of Dec. 31, it has approximately 646 days' cash and 95% unrestricted cash to revenue bond debt. While management has projected that during the next three years its calculation will drop in line with capital spending and range from about \$75 million-\$80 million, we believe its liquidity will remain a credit strength.

CRAA entered a five-year airline agreement that expires Dec. 31, 2014. The major difference includes a formula-based revenue-sharing model (the airlines have been receiving a fixed payment of about \$2 million annually). After meeting two tests (DSC no less than 2x and the general purpose fund holding at least one year's worth of operating expenses), the airlines receive 75% of the authority's net operating income after payment of non-PFC debt service and after a transfer to the capital reserve that escalates modestly from the first \$12 million transfer in 2010. We believe that the revenue sharing model is reasonable.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that CRAA will maintain strong DSC within the historical range. We further expect that during the next two years the airport will continue demonstrating enplanement growth and issue no additional parity debt. Should enplanements start falling again, resulting in a deteriorating financial risk profile, we could lower the rating. We do not expect to raise the rating during the outlook period.

Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: Airport Revenue Bonds, June 13, 2007

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.